

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,092	09/23/2003	Michael M. Gerardi	MMG-2	1854
75	90 02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Michael M. Gerardi, Esq.			DONELS, JEFFREY	
28876 Woodcre Menifee, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2837	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/669,092	GERARDI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Jeffrey Donels	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOInte, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,15-17,25,26,28-32,34 and 3</u>	35 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>12-14,18-24,27 and 33</u> is/are object	ed to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, =	• •	` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A	Application No	Stage			
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/669,092

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,9,31,32,34,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully met by Caulkins et al.

Caulkins et al discloses an automated stringed instrument which comprises strings 112, pluckers 120, and control means (Fig. 5a) which control the pluckers; the plucking frequency of which is dependent on whatever notes are called for in the MIDI data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 25,26,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caulkins et al.

Caulkins et al (applied in a similar manner here as above) discloses all features claimed, but does not explicitly disclose the second plurality of strings, control means,

Application/Control Number: 10/669,092

Art Unit: 2837

and pluckers as recited. It has been held that the mere duplication of working parts does not constitute nonobviousness (In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Regarding Claim 3, Caulkins et al does not explicitly disclose the material of the strings used, but it is noted that the use of metal strings in a guitar is notoriously old-and well-known in the art of musical instruments, and to do so would be useful in attaining a certain sound or working with certain pickups.

Claims 4,5,15-17,28,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caulkins et al in view of Santucci.

Caulkins (applied in a similar manner as above) discloses all features claimed, but does not disclose bass and guitar strings, the particular tuning recited, pickups, an amplifier. Santucci discloses a combination guitar and bass which comprises all these features (Fig. 1; Col. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the teachings of Caulkins with those of Santucci, so as to allow for a greater variety of guitar tones to be produced in the automatic fashion of Caulkins.

Regarding Claims 28 and 29, neither Caulkins nor Santucci explicitly disclose such audio effects or volume control; however, it is noted that such is notoriously old and well-known in the art of electric guitars, and the use of such would be obvious in that a greater variety of sound effects could be generated.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caulkins et al in view of Santucci, and further in view of Cavicchioli.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,092

Art Unit: 2837

Regarding Claims 6-8, neither Caulkins nor Santucci explicitly disclose a first plurality of frets corresponding to a bass guitar and a second plurality of frets corresponding to an electric guitar; Cavicchioli discloses a stringed musical instrument which comprises these first and second plurality of frets (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the Caulkins/Santucci combination with the Cavicchioli teachings, as it would enable the user to play the guitar strings at their proper tunings more easily.

Claims 12-14,18-24,27,33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Benson, Sullivan, Dolan et al, Cooper, Jacobson et al and Freimuth et al are further cited to show related teachings in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 571-272-2061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/669,092 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2837